

10/22/70

Dear Mary,

We'll feel better when we've heard from you.

My mind has been on other things. I got a 4:30 a.m. start today to go over proofs on an entirely different subject. Times was I'd still feel fresh at 9 p.m., but tonight I didn't, so I sat down to read a bit before going to bed, but I couldn't concentrate, and my mind started wandering.

I don't want to get busy again and forget, so I'm writing this note now, without indicating my reason, to avoid what I often think of, from my radio-amateur days, as "feedback". I have a notion that makes me quite interested, until I can check it out, in Oswald and June 2222 1962. I think I'll try and work it out in periods of a week, going both ways from the middle of the month, 6/15.

Am I correct in thinking that I recall you (all) have a chronology made up? If so, I'd like to be able to see the period 6/15-22/62 to begin with, please. If that is lacking in the clues I seek, then I'd like to check the week before first, and then the week after second, etc.

If I am incorrect and it is Shirley, if you think it would not be a burden to her, would you please send this to her?

Not much new. Hope your news is better than it was. Do let us know when you can.

What really brought you to mind was earlier today, when I took Lil to get some cheese, and she got something she hadn't mentioned needing-Chinese cabbage.

Our best xxx to you all,

to self:

With the absence of any indication I can recall whether or not Wade told Lee of Fain's call, on reading in Newman that Fain didn't know of his arrival, I decided this is not the FBI, and there must be a reason. Pauline Bates noted a marked difference when he returned the 3rd day and insisted on stopping his notes even when she offered to do them free. He was very nervous, which is exactly the way Fain described him as of 6/26, seven days later. Is Fain's report a revised and redated one? Is Bates wrong on the date? Did Wade tell Lee of Fain's effort to find him? What could there be aside from official interest that could get LEO so upset at this time, make him discontinue his notes? Not what Newman says, the Ravens radio commentary on the Rosenbergs-assuming LEO heard it. All the evidence Newman has is his favorite substitute, "persuasively", which really means he wants to believe it and has no rational reasons. Which may also make more interesting the coincidence that the agents who saw LEO at tender times, Fain and Quigley, are both retired.